	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,930	KIEVIT, CHRISTA
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	June Hwu	1661
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comm GHTS. This application is and MPEP 1308.	ith the correspondence address in this application. If not included inunication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>application filed February</u>	<u>uary 25, 2004</u> .	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 25 February 2004 are accepted by the Examiner.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the delow. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the sheet of the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the sheet of the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the sheet of the sheet of the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the sheet of the priority documents have a claim for the sheet of the priority documents have a claim for	been received. been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to fill ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EX es reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review as Amendment / Comment of the Header according to 37 Cesit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No ed in this national stage application from the e a reply complying with the requirements AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient. w (PTO-948) attached or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). 'ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No 8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 10/786,930

Art Unit: 1661

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

Drawings

An Official Draftsman has approved the drawings.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Agent Catherine Anne Whealy on June 22, 2004.

In the specification:

- A. Page 2, line 2, the term "taken" has been deleted.
- B. The recitation on page 2 lines 20-22 through page 3, lines 1-2 has been deleted and replaced with the following:
- -- Plants of the new Fuchsia can be compared to plants of the cultivar Kiewidiv disclosed in U.S. Plant Patent No. 12,831. In side-by-side comparisons conducted in Venhuizen, The Netherlands, plants of the new Fuchsia differed primarily from plants of the cultivar Kiewidiv in the following characteristics:
- 1. Plants of the new Fuchsia were more outwardly spreading than plants of the cultivar Kiewidiv.
- 2. Plants of the new Fuchsia were not as freely branching as plants of the cultivar Kiewidiv.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,930

Art Unit: 1661

3. Plants of the new Fuchsia had darker green-colored leaves than plants of the

cultivar Kiewidiv.

Plants of the new Fuchsia and the cultivar Kiewidiv differed in sepal coloration. --. 4.

Page 3

C. Page 9, after line 7 the following has been inserted:

-- Filament length: About 6 cm.

Filament color: Close to 66A. --.

Reasons for Allowance

The information regarding the cultivar Kiefudich was described in the Plant Breeder's

Right application number 02-3244 filed in Canada on September 4, 2002 and published on

October 31, 2002. The printed publication was accessible to the public more than one year

prior to filing of this instant application. A publication relied upon as prior art under 35 USC

102(b) must be enabling. The text of the relied upon publications standing alone would not

enable one skilled in the art to practice the claimed invention.

When the claim is drawn to a plant, the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior

art, must enable one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain or reproduce the plant. See In re Le

Grice, 301 F.2d 929, 133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could obtain or

reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication describing the plant

would have an enabling disclosure.

In the declaration, Applicant states that the claimed plant has not been sold or available

to the public anywhere in the world. The printed publication cannot be enabled because the

disclosed cultivar has not been propagated or obtained from publicly available materials.

The specification provides as complete a botanical description as reasonably possible of the claimed plant. The completeness of the description is sufficient to distinguish this new plant from the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to June Hwu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0977. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER

JH